

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Potent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/076,115	05/12/1998	CHRISTIAN E. GRUBER	0942.4350001	4470		
26111 75	590 12/18/2002					
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER			
	1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			TUNG, JOYCE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1637 DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	34		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/076,115

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Gruber et al

Joyce Tung

1637



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover s	heet with t	he correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE _	3	MONTH(S) FROM			
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however,	may a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (3) MONTHS fro	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 30, 2	002		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-fina	al.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair			·			
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 12, 16-20, 22, 25, 28, 29, 31, 32	2, and 54-63	<u> </u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 12, 16-20, 22, 25, 28, 29, 31, 32	2, and 54-63		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	ar	e subject 1	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be h	eld in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	i:	s: a)□ ap	proved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office a	ction.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌	3) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) [a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•					
14)↓	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) L.	and a second second second						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm 1) □ No	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions	immen, IPTA	413) Paper No(s)			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						
							

Application/Control Number: 09/076,115 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/30/2002 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 1-2, 6, 12, 16-20, 22, 25, 28-29, 31-32, and 54-56 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Burmer (5,726,022) and under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Frohman (PCR protocols, a guide to methods and applications, 1990, pg. 28-38) in view of Lohman et al. (5,631,147) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/076,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

4. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Claim 55 is vague and indefinite because of the language "at least one of said nucleic acid templates is polyadenylated". Since RNA molecule has a poly A region, it is unclear whether or not the polyA region is naturally there or is added as claimed. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 6, 12, 16-20, 22, 25, 28-29, 31-32, 54, and 56-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burmer (5,726,022).

Burmer discloses that an adaptor with a restriction site is ligated to a first nucleic acid sample and optionally the adaptor may contain a ligand binding end. Further, Burmer discloses that if the first and second nucleic acid fragment are amplified, they are amplified with primers containing a ligand binding end and a sequence complementary to the adaptors (See column 2, lines 39-48).

Burmer does not disclose using a primer-adapter nucleic acid. However, the primer of Burmer containing a ligand binding end and a sequence complementary to the adaptors (See column 2, lines 45-48). One of ordinary skill in the art would have made the primer-adapter nucleic acid because of the teachings of Burmer in that the primer of Burmer contains a sequence complementary to the adaptors (See column 2, lines 45-48).

Burmer discloses that amplification may be done by PCR, LCR or TAS (see column 8, lines 47-52) (as recited in claims 12, 16,). This indicates that the polypeptides as listed in claim 6 are involved in the method of the invention, for example, reverse transcriptase and *Taq* DNA polymerase. The isolation step is done by first removing the adaptors by restriction enzyme, capturing the nucleic acid containing the ligand and then the nucleic acid that were not captured is isolated (see column 2, lines 56-59) (as recited in claims 20 and 31-32). The ligand includes hapten (see column 7, line 4) (as recited in claims 18-19). The solid support is described in column 7, lines 37-48 (as recited in claims 22).

Burmer does not disclose the template is an RNA molecule as amended and as argued in the response filed 10/30/2002. However, DNA and RNA molecule has similar structure, which was well known in the art at the time of the instant invention. The method for amplifying DNA can be applied to amplify RNA molecule. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply the method of Burmer to make a nucleic acid molecule from an RNA molecule or mRNA molecule. Thus, it would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to carry out the method as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/076,115

Art Unit: 1637

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-

6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the

notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

December 15' 2002"

GARY BENZION, PH.D

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600